of $100-139^{\circ}$. Referring to the results of two electron deformation density studies on $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}$ and NaSCN (Bats \& Coppens, 1977; Bats, Coppens \& Kvick, 1977), the pronounced bond-direction selectivity of thiocyanate $S$ is attributable to two cooperative effects: (i) by the presence of a larger ring-like region of electron lone-pair density around $S$ lateral to the SCN group, and (ii) by the simultaneous presence of an electron-deficient region protruding from the $S$ atom in the axial direction of the SCN group. Both effects are considered to stem from a thiocyanate S atom which is almost unhybridized and has its electron lone pairs concentrated in $3 s$ and $3 p \pi$ orbitals.

This work was supported by the Austrian FWF (projects 3743 and 5522).
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#### Abstract

Tripotassium tetrasodium dihydrogen decatungstoterbate icosahydrate, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]$.$20 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad M_{r}=3209$, monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{1} / n, \quad a=$ 29.772 ( 8 ),$\quad b=16.164$ (2), $\quad c=11.443$ (1) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ 96.01 (2) ${ }^{\circ}, V=5476$ (2) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=3.81 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $\lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=$ $5584, T=298 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.053$ for 8398 unique reflections. The $D_{4 d}\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$ anion consists of two $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ groups chelating to the central $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion. The $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ cation exhibits a tetragonal antiprismatic coordination with $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ distances of $2.40-2.44 \AA$. The average $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{W}$ distance is $3.83 \AA$. Two $\mathrm{K}^{+}$


cations play a prominent role in linking the two highly negatively charged $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ units of the polyanion. While the three $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations have direct contacts to the polyanion O atoms, forming bridges to the neighboring polyanions, only one O atom of the polyanion is observed in the coordination spheres of the four $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cations.

Introduction. Heteropolyanions containing lanthanoid atoms have been attracting much interest owing to their fluorescent activity. However, the photoluminescent polyanions whose crystal structures © 1992 International Union of Crystallography
have been determined are limited to red-emissive polyoxometalloeuropates such as $\mathrm{K}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{SbW}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{33}\right)\left(\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 25.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Yamase, Naruke \& Sasaki, 1990), $\mathrm{Eu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Yamase \& Naruke, 1991) and $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{12} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Eu}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{16}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 13 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Naruke, Ozeki \& Yamase, 1991). On the other hand, the heteropolyanions $\left[\mathrm{LnW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$, where Ln is trivalent $\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Pr}$ or Nd (Stillman \& Thomson, 1976) and Sm, Dy, Tb or Eu (Blasse, Dirksen \& Zonnevijlle, 1981), have been most extensively investigated as they show high quantum efficiency of luminescence. This type of polyanion has been regarded as isostructural with the non-luminescent tetravalent Ce analogue, $\left[\mathrm{CeW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{8-}$ (Iball, Low \& Weakley, 1974). This paper deals with the structure of the potassium sodium salt of the green-emissive decatungstoterbate, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right] \cdot 20 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Experimental. 20 ml of an aqueous solution containing 8.3 g of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was brought to pH 7 by adding $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} .1 .02 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{Tb}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{3} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 1.49 g KCl in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added. The solution was kept at room temperature and colorless crystals were obtained after a week. A single crystal with dimensions $0.21 \times 0.25 \times$ 0.045 mm , sealed in a glass capillary, was mounted on a Rigaku AFC-5R four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71069 \AA$ ). Cell parameters were obtained from $2 \theta$ values of 16 reflections with $23<2 \theta<30^{\circ}$. A total of 16484 reflections was collected with $2 \theta$ ranging from 5 to $55^{\circ}$, of which 8398 with $I_{\text {obs }}>3 \sigma\left(I_{\text {obs }}\right)$ were used for the structure determination. The $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique was used for data collection; $\Delta \omega=(0.85+$ $0.14 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$, scan speed $8^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ in $\omega$. The range of indices was $-38 \leq h \leq 38, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 20,0 \leq l \leq 14$, $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}=0.65 \AA^{-1}$. Lp and absorption corrections [transmission factors 0.039-0.359 (de Meulenaer \& Tompa, 1965)] were applied. Three standard reflections ( 400,020 and $\overline{1} 11$ ) monitored every 150 reflections showed intensity variations within $\pm 1.2 \%$ in $I_{\text {obs }}$.

The positions of the Tb and W atoms were obtained from direct methods using MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1984). Succeeding difference Fourier syntheses located the O atoms of the anion. At this point, the three largest peaks in the difference Fourier map were assigned as K atoms. The next four largest peaks showed six contacts to the O atoms with distances $2.3-2.6 \AA$. These peaks were assigned as Na atoms. Bond-valence calculations (Brown \& Altermatt, 1985) supported these assignments. Twenty O atoms of water of crystallization were located. Anisotropic temperature factors were refined for $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{K}$ and Na atoms. The full-matrix least-squares refinement on $F$ converged to $R=0.053$

Table 1. Fractional coordinates ( $\times 10^{4} ; \times 10^{5}$ for W and Tb ) and equivalent isotropic or isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{K}$ and Na atoms were refined anisotropically; $B_{\mathrm{eq}}=$ $\left(8 \pi^{2} / 3\right) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{*} a_{j}{ }^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. Atomic label $w$ denotes O atoms of water of crystallization.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }} / B_{\text {iso }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W(0) | 58746 (3) | 20667 (5) | 46086 (8) | 1.92 |
| W(1) | 66450 (3) | 8929 (5) | 61783 (7) | 1.70 |
| W(2) | 67210 (3) | 28957 (5) | 63541 (7) | 1.59 |
| W(3) | 67224 (3) | 30233 (4) | 35173 (7) | 1.59 |
| W(4) | 66519 (3) | 10117 (5) | 33417 (7) | 1.66 |
| W(5) | 95601 (3) | 17333 (5) | 57766 (8) | 1.83 |
| W(6) | 87246 (3) | 17239 (5) | 74977 (7) | 1.63 |
| W(7) | 87277 (3) | 3516 (4) | 53989 (7) | 1.58 |
| W(8) | 87694 (3) | 18223 (5) | 34711 (7) | 1.65 |
| W(9) | 87710 (3) | 32060 (5) | 55662 (7) | 1.70 |
| Tb | 77246 (4) | 18420 (5) | 51267 (8) | 1.41 |
| K(1) | 7786 (2) | -39 (3) | 7416 (5) | 3.3 |
| K(2) | 7945 (2) | 3758 (3) | 2855 (5) | 3.2 |
| K(3) | 9222 (2) | -688 (3) | 2892 (5) | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{Na}(1)$ | 2598 (4) | 2053 (5) | 4818 (8) | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{Na}(2)$ | 6216 (5) | 1881 (6) | 9076 (10) | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{Na}(3)$ | 7510 (4) | 767 (5) | 288 (8) | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{Na}(4)$ | 9978 (4) | 617 (7) | 1228 (10) | 4.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(0)$ | 5299 (6) | 2153 (10) | 4457 (15) | 3.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 6572 (5) | 104 (8) | 7163 (13) | 2.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 6689 (5) | 3614 (8) | 7464 (12) | 2.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 6693 (6) | 3817 (8) | 2477 (13) | 2.5 (3) |
| O(4) | 6594 (6) | 297 (9) | 2188 (13) | 2.5 (3) |
| O(5) | 10137 (6) | 1686 (9) | 5978 (14) | 2.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 8741 (6) | 1648 (9) | 9019 (14) | 2.8 (3) |
| O(7) | 8793 (5) | -715 (8) | 5327 (13) | 2.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 8850 (6) | 1855 (9) | 1981 (14) | 2.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 8849 (6) | 4259 (9) | 5646 (14) | 3.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 7236 (5) | 976 (8) | 6170 (12) | 1.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 7308 (5) | 2713 (7) | 6343 (11) | 1.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 7311 (5) | 2834 (7) | 3818 (11) | 1.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 7245 (5) | 1066 (8) | 3673 (12) | 1.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 8120 (5) | 1723 (7) | 7063 (12) | 1.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | 8125 (5) | 520 (7) | 5202 (11) | 1.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 8168 (5) | 1871 (8) | 3478 (12) | 2.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | 8166 (5) | 3082 (8) | 5354 (12) | 2.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(01)$ | 5985 (6) | 1182 (8) | 5726 (13) | 2.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(02)$ | 6049 (5) | 2820 (8) | 5900 (12) | 2.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(03)$ | 6047 (5) | 2909 (8) | 3585 (12) | 2.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(04)$ | 5989 (5) | 1276 (8) | 3411 (12) | 2.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 9389 (5) | 1691 (8) | 7353 (12) | 1.8 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(57)$ | 9396 (5) | 603 (8) | 5636 (12) | 2.0 (3) |
| O(58) | 9428 (5) | 1796 (8) | 4087 (13) | 2.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(59)$ | 9422 (5) | 2902 (8) | 5823 (12) | 2.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 6629 (5) | 1873 (7) | 7191 (11) | 1.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 6709 (5) | 3608 (8) | 4977 (12) | 1.7 (2) |
| O(34) | 6651 (5) | 2059 (8) | 2489 (12) | 1.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)$ | 6588 (5) | 307 (8) | 4667 (13) | 2.1 (3) |
| O(67) | 8743 (5) | 552 (7) | 7061 (12) | 1.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(78)$ | 8797 (5) | 664 (8) | 3791 (12) | 1.9 (2) |
| O(89) | 8827 (5) | 2989 (7) | 3924 (12) | 1.8 (2) |
| O(96) | 8776 (5) | 2886 (8) | 7200 (12) | 2.0 (3) |
| O(a) | 6628 (5) | 1971 (7) | 4821 (11) | 1.3 (2) |
| O (b) | 8791 (5) | 1771 (8) | 5481 (12) | 1.8 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 w)$ | 7541 (6) | 2045 (8) | 1502 (13) | 2.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 w)$ | 7686 (6) | 125 (10) | 2129 (15) | 3.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 w)$ | 2545 (6) | 708 (10) | 5461 (15) | 3.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(4{ }^{\text {\% }}\right.$ ) | 3364 (9) | 1825 (13) | 5775 (21) | 6.4 (6) |
| O(5w) | 24 (6) | 974 (10) | 9006 (15) | 3.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{w})$ | 9945 (7) | 445 (10) | 3254 (16) | 3.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7 w)$ | 9202 (7) | 362 (10) | 952 (16) | 3.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(8 w)$ | 777 (6) | 492 (10) | 1208 (15) | 3.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(9 w)$ | 733 (8) | 2105 (13) | 3201 (20) | 5.6 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(10 w)$ | 5812 (7) | 2053 (11) | 838 (18) | 4.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11 w)$ | 5716 (14) | 793 (20) | 8164 (31) | 11.5 (11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12 w)$ | 6685 (7) | 765 (11) | 9844 (16) | 4.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13 \mathrm{w})$ | 2617 (7) | 563 (10) | 488 (15) | 3.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(14 w^{\prime}\right)$ | 8200 (7) | 375 (10) | 9697 (16) | 3.8 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{w})$ | 1762 (7) | 2110 (11) | 4932 (18) | 4.6 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(16 w)$ | 7507 (6) | 1703 (8) | 8671 (13) | 2.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(17 x^{\prime}\right)$ | 2857 (6) | 1436 (10) | 3105 (15) | 3.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(18 w)$ | 4955 (10) | 1992 (14) | 8284 (23) | 7.1 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(19 \mathrm{w})$ | 4543 (13) | 1421 (19) | 3356 (29) | 10.7 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(20 w)$ | 9747 (14) | 2104 (20) | 1100 (33) | 11.8 (11) |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right] \cdot 20 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

and $w R=0.063$ for 387 parameters and 8398 independent reflections. The function minimized was $\sum w\left(\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}\right|\right)^{2}$. The weighting scheme employed was $w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\text {obs }}\right)$, where $\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\text {obs }}{ }^{2}\right)=$ $\sigma^{2}\left(I_{\text {obs }}\right)+\left(0.03 I_{\text {obs }}\right)^{2} . \quad S=\sum w^{1 / 2}| | F_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}\right| / /(n-\right.$ $m)=1.78$. $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.03$. The maximum positive and negative peaks in the final difference Fourier map were 3.4 and $-3.4 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$, respectively. The complex atomic scattering factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). All calculations were carried out on a MicroVAX II computer using the TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989) software package. The final atomic parameters are given in Table 1.*

Discussion. Fig. 1 shows the structure of the $\left[\mathrm{TbW} \mathrm{W}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$ anion. Two $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ groups, derived by removing a $\mathrm{WO}^{4+}$ unit from the $\left[\mathrm{W}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ anion, chelate to the central Tb atom as quadridentate ligands to form a tetragonal antiprismatic coordination. The eight W atoms adjacent to the Tb atom will be referred to as the 'belt' W atoms and the

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and complete interatomic distances have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55006 ( 17 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.


Fig. 1 ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of the $\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$ anion with the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations bound to it. Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to enclose the $50 \%$ probability level. Letters $a-h$ identify the bond types listed in Table 2. Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{3}{2}-x,-\frac{1}{2}+$ $y, \frac{3}{2}-z$; (ii) $1-x,-y, 1-z$; (iii) $\frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (iv) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-$ $y,-\frac{1}{2}+z$; (v) $2-x,-y, 1-z$; (vi) $\frac{3}{2}-x,-\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$.
remaining two W atoms as the 'cap' W atoms. O atoms with the primed numerals denote those bridging W and Tb atoms. O atoms shared by five W atoms are named as $\mathrm{O}(a)$ and $\mathrm{O}(b)$. Fig. 2 shows the packing diagram of the unit cell. In the asymmetric unit there are three $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and four $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cations, 20 water molecules of crystallization and $a$ $\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$. anion. Three $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations have seven or eight contacts to O atoms within $3.2 \AA$, five of each are from the polyanion. $K(1)$ and $K(2)$ bridge two neighboring anions and $K(3)$ coordinates to three anions. On the other hand, only one of the 24 O atoms in the Na coordination spheres belongs to the polyanion; the rest of them are the $O$ atoms of water of crystallization. Each $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cation has six O contacts forming a distorted octahedron. The $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are $2.3-2.6 \AA$.

Among the three $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations, $\mathrm{K}(1)$ and $\mathrm{K}(2)$ play important roles in forming and stabilizing the $\left[\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$ anion. As seen in Fig. 1, they have contacts to one O atom of one $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ unit and three O atoms of the other $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ unit of the anion. These interactions are expected to participate in reducing the electrostatic repulsion between the highly negatively charged $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ groups, which the trivalent $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ cation cannot compensate for as much as the tetravalent $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ cation. In the structure of $\mathrm{Na}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[\mathrm{CeW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right] \cdot 30 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Iball, Low \& Weakley, 1974), none of the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cations tightly bind to the polyanion. The tetrads of $O\left(1^{\prime}\right), O\left(6^{\prime}\right), O\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ and


Fig. 2. Packing diagram of the unit cell viewed along the $c$ axis. Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to enclose the $50 \%$ probability level. Na and K atoms are shown as ellipsoids with shaded octants.

Table 2. Ranges and mean values of the interatomic distances $(\AA)$

| Bond type |  | Range | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-W* |  | 3.314 (1)-3.350 (1) | 3.33 (1) |
| $\mathbf{W}-\mathrm{W} \dagger$ |  | 3.250 (1)-3.279 (1) | 3.26 (1) |
| W-W $\ddagger$ |  | 6.265 (2)-6.468 (2) | 6.37 (7) |
| W-Tb |  | 3.807 (2)-3.866 (2) | 3.83 (2) |
| W-0 | a§ | 1.71 (2)-1.71 (2) | 1.71 (2) |
| W-0 | $b$ | 1.72 (2)-1.75 (2) | 1.74 (2) |
| W-0 | $c$ | 1.89 (1)-1.94 (1) | 1.92 (2) |
| W-0 | $d$ | 1.99 (2)-2.03 (2) | 2.02 (2) |
| W-0 | $e$ | 1.91 (1)-1.97 (1) | 1.94 (2) |
| W-0 | $f$ | 1.77 (2)-1.82 (1) | 1.79 (2) |
| W-O | $g$ | 2.24 (1)-2.28 (1) | 2.26 (2) |
| W-0 | h | 2.30 (1)-2.34 (1) | 2.31 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ |  | 2.40 (1)-2.44 (1) | 2.42 (2) |
| K-O |  | 2.63 (1)-3.18 (2) | 2.91 (15) |
| $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}$ |  | 2.31 (2)-2.63 (2) | 2.43 (9) |

[^0]Table 3. Dihedral angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ among the least-squares planes

| Atoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plane | defining plane | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 1 | $W(1) W(2) W(3) W(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $W(6) W(7) W(8) W(9)$ | 2.70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\operatorname{TbW}(0) W(1) W(3)$ | 89.84 | 87.52 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\operatorname{TbW}(0) W(2) W(4)$ | 89.93 | 89.37 | 89.95 |  |  |  |
| 5 | $\operatorname{TbW}(5) W(6) W(8)$ | 87.97 | 89.80 | 46.35 | 43.76 |  |  |
| 6 | $\operatorname{TbW}(5) W(7) W(9)$ | 88.26 | 89.78 | 43.95 | 46.05 | 89.81 |  |

$O(67)$ and $O\left(3^{\prime}\right), O\left(8^{\prime}\right), O\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ and $O(89)$ serve as quadridentate acceptor sites suitable for the binding of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations. The attachment of the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations to these sites reduces the highly negative charge of the [ $\left.\mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{9-}$ anion, which may better be formulated as $\left[\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TbW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{7-}$. These $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations may also coordinate to the anion when in solution. This explains the fact that the preparation of single crystals of this complex with only $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cations was unsuccessful.

Table 2 lists the range and mean values of the interatomic distances and Table 3 lists the dihedral angles among the least-squares planes defined by Tb and W atoms. They show that the $\mathrm{TbW}_{10}$ skeleton has nearly $D_{4 d}$ symmetry. The average $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{W}$ distance between the different $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ units ( $6.37 \AA$ ) is $0.11 \AA$ longer than the corresponding value in $\left[\mathrm{CeW}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{8-}$. The average $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{W}$ distance is $0.04 \AA$ longer than the average $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{W}$ distance of $3.79 \AA$ (Iball, Low \& Weakley, 1974), which shows that the two $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ ligands are not so tightly bonded to the $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ cation as to the $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ cation. This implies that the factor for the formation of the [ $\left.\mathrm{LnW} \mathrm{W}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right]^{n-}$ polyanion is the electrostatic attractive force between the two $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ groups and the central lanthanoid atom, which then dominates the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{W}$ distance. Therefore, the smaller positive charge of the central lanthanoid atom results in the longer $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{W}$ distances. On the other hand, the
substitution of the hexavalent W atoms of a Keggintype polyanion with tetravalent Ti atoms results in shorter $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{W}$ distances compared with the $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{W}$ distances (Ozeki \& Yamase, 1991), which implies that the electrostatic repulsive force between the metal atoms dominates the metal-metal distances in this anion. The average $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{W}$ distance among the belt $W$ atoms is $3.26 \AA$ and between the cap and belt W atoms is $3.33 \AA$. The corresponding values are 3.27 and $3.32 \AA$ in the Ce analogue. The average $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{W}$ distance in the $\left[\mathrm{W}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ anion is $3.289 \AA$ (Fuchs, Freiwald \& Hartl, 1978). This indicates that the local charge of the W atoms is smaller at the belt sites than at the cap sites. The polarization of the negative charge in the $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ moiety induced by the coordination to the central lanthanoid atom resulted in this asymmetric distribution of the positive charge between the cap and belt W atoms.

The average $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.42 \AA$ is longer than the average $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.38 \AA$, because the ionic radius of $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ is larger $(1.04 \AA$ for eight coordination) than that of $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}[0.97 \AA$ for eight coordination (Shannon, 1976)]. The $\mathrm{TbO}_{8}$ square antiprism is elongated along its fourfold axis compared with the $\mathrm{CeO}_{8}$ moiety, owing to the weaker bonding of the two $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ groups to the central $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ atom. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in the $\mathrm{TbO}_{8}$ square antiprism are 2.82 (2)-2.95 (2) $\AA$ [average 2.89 (4) $\AA$ ] for the O atoms in the same $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ unit and $2.94(2)-3.12$ (2) $\AA$ [average $3.02(6) \AA$ ] for those in different $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ units. These values are 2.85$2.90 \AA$ [average $2.87(2) \AA$ ] and $2.89-2.99 \AA$ [average 2.94 (4) $\AA$ ] in the Ce analogue.

The terminal $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length at the cap W atoms (type $a$ in Table 2) is $0.03 \AA$ shorter than that at the belt W atoms (type $b$ in Table 2), which shows the opposite tendency compared with the Ce analogue where the type $a$ bond is $0.03 \AA$ longer than the type $b$ bonds. The distances between the cap $W$ atoms and the five-coordinate O atoms are 2.24 and $2.28 \AA$, while the corresponding distance in the Ce analogue is $2.29 \AA$. The other $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are similar to those found in the Ce analogue.
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Abstract. Copper(II) dirubidium tetrachloride dihydrate, $M_{r}=412.32$, tetragonal, $P 4_{2} / \mathrm{mnm}, a=$ 7.596 (2), $c=8.027$ (3) $\AA, \quad V=463.1$ (2) $\AA^{3}, \quad Z=2$, $D_{x}=2.957 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \bar{\lambda}(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71073 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $13.63 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=382, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, R(F)=0.039$ for 277 independent reflections with $\left|F_{o}\right|>3 \sigma\left|F_{o}\right|$. The $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion has a distorted octahedral coordination consisting of two $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ ions at 3.000 (3) $\AA$, two $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ ions at 2.257 (3) $\AA$ and two water molecules at 1.969 (8) $\AA$ at the equatorial positions of the square plane. Each of the water molecules is trigonally coordinated and forms two equivalent $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)$ hydrogen bonds with an $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)$ length of 3.130 (7) $\AA$. Four $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and four $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ ions form a distorted cube around the $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$ion with $\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ lengths of 3.367 (2) and 3.380 (2) $\AA$, respectively.

Introduction. An approximate structure of the $2 \mathrm{RbCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ crystal was first determined by Hendricks \& Dickinson (1927), together with crystallographically isomorphic $2 \mathrm{KCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ crystals using the X-ray diffraction method. The three crystals were tetragonal with space group $P 4_{2} / m n m$, and the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion in the complex showed distorted octahedral coordination consisting of two elongated $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ bonds, two $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ and two $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bonds, the order of the interatomic distances being reported as Cu -$\mathrm{Cl}(1)>\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}>\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$. For $2 \mathrm{KCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ crystals, detailed structural analyses have been carried out by X-ray and neutron

[^1]diffraction methods (Chrobak, 1934; Matković, Peterson \& Willett, 1969; Chidambaram, Navarro, Garcia, Linggoatmodjo, Lin Shi-Chien, Il-Hwan Suh, Sequeira \& Srikanta, 1970; Bhakay-Tamhane, Sequeira \& Chidambaram, 1980). These results showed that the order of the bond lengths should be $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)>\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)>\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ for $2 \mathrm{KCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot-$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which is different from that reported by Hendricks \& Dickinson (1927).

The crystal structure of $2 \mathrm{RbCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, however, has not been redetermined except for the lattice parameters (Swanson, McMurdie, Morris, Evans, Paretzkin, Degroot \& Carmel, 1972; Stepin, Iskhakova, Serebrennikova, Starikova \& Trunov, 1976). Thus, the crystal structure of $2 \mathrm{RbCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is reinvestigated here to refine the bond lengths between the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion and ligands in order to compare the results with those of $2 \mathrm{KCl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Experimental. A crystal suitable for the X-ray analysis was prepared from an $\mathrm{RbCl}-\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ternary solution by slow evaporation. A blue lumpy crystal with dimensions $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used for data collection at 293 K . Diffraction intensities were measured on a Rigaku AFC-5S automatic fourcircle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. The unit-cell parameters were determined from the setting angles ( $23.07 \leq 2 \theta \leq$ $30.66^{\circ}$ ) of 25 reflections. Intensities of 453 reflections ( $0 \leq h \leq 11,0 \leq k \leq 11,0 \leq l \leq 11$ ) within the range $\theta<30^{\circ}$ were measured using an $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode (c) 1992 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Between the cap and belt W atoms.
    $\dagger$ Between the belt W atoms in the same $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ units.
    $\ddagger$ Between the belt $W$ atoms in different $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{6-}$ units. § See Fig. 1.

[^1]:    * To whom correspondence should be addressed.

